

EOP:SOA/EV/DB/TE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEVIN A. MACGREGOR,)	No. C 13-2309 RMW (PR)
Plaintiff,)	ORDER OF SERVICE; ORDER
v.)	DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
DR. MARTIN, et al.,)	PROVIDE COURT WITH MORE
Defendants.)	INFORMATION FOR DEFENDANT
)	YAN

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint against prison officials at San Quentin State Prison (“SQSP”), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court ordered service of plaintiff’s civil rights complaint upon defendants. (Docket No. 7.) Notices of lawsuit and requests for waiver of service of summons were returned for defendants Nurse Yan and Dr. Martin because the defendants were “not at San Quentin.” (Docket Nos. 9 and 10.) On October 23, 2013, the court directed plaintiff to provide the court with an accurate and current location for defendants Martin and Yan. (Docket No. 12.) On January 9, 2014, plaintiff filed a response to the court’s order indicating that defendants “Doctor Martin and Nurse A. Yan were employed at the San Quentin Neumillar Infirmary, between 2004 to approx. 2008.” (Docket No. 23.)

On July 18, 2014, the court received a letter from SQSP Litigation Coordinator indicating that there was no employee known as Nurse A. Yan and that more identifying information was needed. (Docket No. 26.)

In the interest of justice, the court will allow plaintiff to attempt to provide more identifying information for defendant Yan. If plaintiff fails to provide the court with more identifying information for defendant Yan **within thirty (30) days of the date this order is**

1 filed, plaintiff's claims against defendant Yan will be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to
 2 Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3 The letter from SQSP Litigation Coordinator also indicated that Dr. Timothy Martin was
 4 a former employee of SQSP. A confidential current address was provided. (Docket No. 26.)

5 **CONCLUSION**

6 For the foregoing reasons, the court orders:

7 1. The clerk of the court shall mail a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of
 8 Service of Summons, two copies of the Waiver of Service of Summons, a copy of the complaint
 9 and all attachments thereto (docket no. 1), and a copy of this order to **Dr. Timothy Martin** at the
 10 confidential address provided by the San Quentin State Prison Litigation Coordinator in docket
 11 no. 26.

12 2. Dr. Timothy Martin is cautioned that Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 13 Procedure requires him to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and
 14 complaint. Pursuant to Rule 4, if defendant, after being notified of this action and asked by the
 15 court, on behalf of plaintiff, to waive service of the summons, fails to do so, he will be required
 16 to bear the cost of such service unless good cause is shown for his failure to sign and return the
 17 waiver form. If service is waived, this action will proceed as if defendant had been served on the
 18 date that the waiver is filed, except that pursuant to Rule 12(a)(1)(B), defendant will not be
 19 required to serve and file an answer before **sixty (60) days** from the date on which the request
 20 for waiver was sent. Defendant is asked to read the statement set forth at the bottom of the
 21 waiver form that more completely describes the duties of the parties with regard to waiver of
 22 service of the summons. If service is waived after the date provided in the Notice but before
 23 defendant has been personally served, the Answer shall be due **sixty (60) days** from the date on
 24 which the request for waiver was sent or **twenty (20) days** from the date the waiver form is filed,
 25 whichever is later.

26 3. No later than **sixty (60) days** from the date the waiver is sent, defendant shall file
 27 a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the cognizable claim
 28 in the complaint.

1 Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual
2 documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil
3 Procedure. **Defendant is advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified**
4 **immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If defendant is of the opinion that this**
5 **case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the court prior to the**
6 **date the summary judgment motion is due.**

7 4. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the court and
8 served on defendant no later than **twenty-eight (28) days** from the date defendant's motion is
9 filed. Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex
10 Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come
11 forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his
12 claim).

13 5. Defendant shall file a reply brief no later than **fourteen (14) days** after plaintiff's
14 opposition is filed.

15 6. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No
16 hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

17 7. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant or
18 defendant's counsel, by mailing a true copy of the document to defendant or defendant's
19 counsel.

20 8. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
21 No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

22 9. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court
23 and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a
24 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute
25 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: JEO


RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEVIN ANTHONY MACGREGOR,

Case Number: CV13-02309 RMW

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

DOCTOR MARTIN MD et al,

Defendant.

/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on September 24, 2014, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Kevin A. MacGregor V-94008
#10, 150
California State Prison - Solano
PO Box 4000
Vacaville, CA 95696

Dated: September 24, 2014

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jackie Lynn Garcia, Deputy Clerk